DOCKET NO. CH 000008 Page 6 of 9

Remarks

Claims 1-6, 8-13, and 16-17 are pending. The pending cla ms each have been amended only in regard to the form. No new issue has been intu duced. Reconsideration and allowance are requested in view of the above amendments and the following remarks.

Yuri Kateshov

Claims 1, 2,6,10, 18, 20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §1 2(b) as anticipated by Todokoro.

The inventive driver circuit is operable to automatically of imize its adjustable characteristic. The driver circuit is configured with 1) means fo storing a value of basic setting, which is determined during the manufacturing process; : id 2) means for storing a value of correction factor associated with the value of basic setti g. To realize the inventive automatic adjustment of the drive circuit, both the value of basic setting AND the value of correction factor are used to alter the adjustable chaucteristic of the drive circuit. See Specification, lines 22-25. As a consequence, the n unufacturer of a display module including the coupled drive circuit and display device can optimize the optical quality of the display module. Id.

Claim 1 recites, among other limitations, the following:

"the driver circuit is operative to adjust the adjustable charae eristic by modifying the value of the basic setting by the value of correction fac: r."

In contrast, Todokoro teaches that a driving data - adjustal e characteristic - is changed only by a correction factor. The value of the basic se ing, however, remains unmodified and is not used in adjusting the driving data reg: dless of a mode in which Todokoro's device operates, as will be discussed hereinboow. Todokoro discloses three modes: an initial characteristic check mode, normal drive 1 ode and characteristic change mode.

In the initial characteristic mode, Todokoro teaches detern ning a value of variable correction factor and a value of initial/basic setting. The determ ned values of the correction factor and basic setting are stored in respective memcies 8 and 11. See Todokoro, FIG. 1. No modification of the driving data is perfor sed in this mode. Thus, contrary to the invention, as recited in claim 1, Todokoro has no eaching of and no need

DOCKET NO. CH 000008 Page 7 of 9

for adjusting an adjustable characteristic by modifying "the value of basic setting" in this mode.

The normal drive mode is characterized by modifying a viving data exclusively by a correction factor. Todokoro explicitly teaches executing his mode so that "none of the memory 11, the comparator 10, and the test pattern gene ator 13 need be operated." See Todokoro, col. 11, lines 15-17. (Emphasis addec The memory 11 is, of course, operable to store the value of basic setting. Consequent 7, Todokoro neither teaches nor suggests "modifying the value of the basic setting b the value of correction factor" in the normal drive mode, as recited in Claim 1.

Finally, the characteristic change mode is characterized by modifying the value of correction factor in response to "a change with time in the electron emission characteristic of each surface conduction electron emitting devi :: "See Todokoro, col. 11, lines 21-23. This mode, like the initial characteristic mode, 3 executed for determination of a new, updated value of the correction factor t sed on a difference between values of currently measured drive signal and previous / stored initial setting. See Todokoro, col. 12, lines 7-14. If the difference exceeds a crtain range, the updated value of the correction factor is adjusted by dividing "a predeter nined design value by the measured value after the change with time." See Todokoro, nes 21-23.

Subsequently, the adjusted correction factor is stored "in he memory 8" and used for modifying a driving signal during a new normal drive moc, in which the value of the initial setting is neither operable nor modifiable. Thus, 1 e characteristic change mode of Todokoro, like the drive mode, is executed by modifyi g an adjustable characteristic of a driving data only by the correction factor. he basic setting does not factor in adjusting the adjustable characteristic of the drive data as explicitly taught by Todokoro in col. 11, lines 15-17 which are quoted hereinabove. Consequently, the value of the initial or basic setting remains unmodified, invariable o constant in each of the characteristic change, initial characteristic and normal drive mo es of Todokoro, whereas a value of correction factor is periodically modified. See Todol oro, col. 12, lines 43-45. The driving data has its adjustable characteristic modified only y the correction factor. As a result, Todokoro fails to teach or suggest that "the driver c cuit is operative to adjust the adjustable characteristic by modifying the value of t e basic setting by the

DOCKET NO. CH 000008 Page 8 of 9

value of correction factor", as recited in Claim 1.

The Examiner contends that "adjustable characteristic of the driver circuit is operable in combination of initial (basic) setting and the value of correction factor." FOA, page 11, lines 3-4. Based on the foregoing, this statement is no substantiated by Todokoro which teaches using only a single parameter – correction factor — to adjust a characteristic of driver device. In contrast, Claim 1 of the inversion recites two parameters – correction factor and initial setting — which op the adjustable characteristic of driver device.

As it appears and until rebutted by the Examiner, Todokoro ills to teach or suggest, among other things, Applicant's features as discussed hereinabe e. Any analogy between Todokoro's disclosure (as discussed and reproduced at ve) and Applicant's recitation in claim 1 does not have any factual basis in the recor.

Pursuant to MPEP, Section 2131 and 35 U.S.C. §102(b), to atticipate a claim, the reference must teach every element of the claim. As discussed: love, Todokoro fails to teach "modifying the value of the basic setting by the value of correction factor" of Applicant's claim 1. Applicant, therefore, respectfully submits and independent claim 1 is not anticipated by Todokoro.

Claim 2 depends from Claim 1, and thus, benefits from its p tentability.

Independent claims 6, 10 and 18 recite, among other limitations discussed above in reference to Claim 1 and are, thus, patentable as well along with respective dependent claims.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. §102(b) receition are respectfully requested.

Claims 3, 8, 9, 11-13, 17 and 19 stand unpatentable under 3. U.S.C. §103(a) over Todokoro in view Yamamoto.

Yamamoto, repeatedly discussed over the prosecution histor of the present application, does not teach or suggest "modifying the value of the basic setting by the value of correction factor", as recited by Claim 1. Therefore, Y: namoto does not cure the deficiencies of Todokoro, and Claim 1 stands patentable over the cited combination. Consequently, Claims 3 and 9, depending from Claim 1, are patentable as well. Claim 8

· • • • •

DOCKET NO. CH 000008 Page 9 of 9

and claims 11-13, 17 depend from independent claims 6 and), respectively, which are also patentable over the cited combination. Hence, claims 8, 1-13 and 17 are patentable. Finally, Claim 19 depends from independent claim 18 and, th 3, patentably distinguishes from the cited combination. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of claims 3, 8, 9, 11-13, 17 and 19 are in order.

Claim 4 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Toc koro in view Inoue. Claim 5 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Todokoro in v w Conover.

Inoue and Conover, discussed in previous communication, each fail to teach "modifying the value of the basic setting by the value of correction factor" and, thus, cannot cure the deficiencies of Todokoro. Claims 4 and 5 are patentable over the cited combination, and the §103 rejections are respectfully requeste! to be withdrawn.

Conclusion

An earnest effort has been made to be fully responsive to the Final Office Action and advance the prosecution of this case. In view of the above an indments and remarks, it is believed that the present application is in condition for allowa ce, and an early notice thereof is earnestly solicited. However, if for any reason this pplication is not considered to be in condition for allowance, the Examiner is r spectfully requested to call the undersigned attorney at the number listed below prior to i: uing a further Action.

Respectfully submitted,

Yuri Kateshov, Esq., 'leg. No. 34,466 The Law Office f Y. Kateshov

174 Ferndale Ro 1 Scarsdale, NY 11 583 Tel: 718 637-60 7

Fax: 914-723-68 2

e-mail: ykatesho @gmail.com